

AGENDA

**NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 14, 2015, 5:30 PM
MARRIOTT LONG ISLAND HOTEL & CONFERENCE CENTER
101 JAMES DOOLITTLE BLVD., UNIONDALE, NY 11553**

Call to Order

Action Items

- I. Approval of the Minutes of November 24, 2014 Meeting
- II. Authorization to Extend a Liquidity Facility with Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation in Connection with NIFA's 2008B Bonds and to Take Related Actions
- III. Consideration of Issuance of Bond Anticipation Notes and Bonds by Nassau County
- IV. Consideration of Revenue Anticipation Note Borrowing by NHCC
- V. Consideration of County Contract for Legal Aid Society
- VI. Consideration of Contracts from the County Attorney's Office

Adjournment

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE DIRECTORS
HELD ON NOVEMBER 24, 2014

Pursuant to notice dated November 18, 2014, a meeting of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (“NIFA”) was convened at 6:44 PM at the Marriott Long Island Hotel & Conference Center located at 101 James Doolittle Blvd, Uniondale, NY 11553.

The following Directors of the Authority were present:

Jon Kaiman, Chairman
Paul Annunziato
John Buran
Paul Leventhal
Lester Petracca
Dermond Thomas
Christopher Wright

Also present from the Authority were Evan Cohen, Executive Director; Jeremy Wise, General Counsel; Laurie Boucher, Corporate Secretary and Carl Dreyer, Treasurer.

Upon determining that a quorum was present, the Chairman called the meeting to order.

The Chairman stated that the first item on the agenda was a resolution to approve the minutes of the October 15, 2014 Directors’ meeting.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following resolution was approved unanimously:

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

Resolution No. 14-529

APPROVAL OF MINUTES AND RATIFICATION OF ACTIONS TAKEN AT THE OCTOBER 15, 2014 MEETING OF THE DIRECTORS OF THE NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

RESOLVED, that the Minutes of the meeting of the Authority held on October 15, 2014 are hereby approved and all actions taken by the Directors present at such meeting, as set forth in such Minutes, are hereby in all respects ratified and approved as actions of the Authority.

* * *

Chairman Kaiman stated the next item on the agenda was a resolution to consider the approval of the County's Multi-Year Financial Plan Fiscal 2015-2018.

Executive Director Cohen stated that in October NIFA issued a report on the County's proposed multi-year plan, which contained significant risks. He stated that the County reduced those projected deficits in the adopted multi-year plan by approximately \$65 million annually. The County subsequently submitted a revised multi-year plan that now provides a roadmap for achieving GAAP balance by 2018. He stated that the savings are being achieved primarily through enactment of a property tax increase and a reduction in borrowing for tax certiorari refunds. He further noted that the revised multi-year plan eliminates all borrowing for judgments and settlements and tax certiorari refunds after 2017.

General Counsel Wise suggested that if the Directors were going to pass the resolution it should be approved subject to NIFA Chairman's review and satisfactory evidence that the revised plan will not be changed by the County Executive or Legislature.

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following resolution was approved unanimously:

Resolution No. 14-534

APPROVING THE COUNTY’S MULTI-YEAR FINANCIAL PLAN FOR FISCAL 2015–
2018 WITH CERTAIN CONDITIONS

WHEREAS, Nassau County is operating in a Control Period, which NIFA imposed on January 26, 2011; and

WHEREAS, on September 15 2014, the County Executive submitted to NIFA his proposed Multi-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal 2015 – 2018 (“Proposed Plan”), the first year of which is his proposed 2015 Budget; and

WHEREAS, on October 15, 2014, NIFA accepted a staff report recommending that the Proposed Plan should be considered for approval only if certain conditions were satisfied; and

WHEREAS, on October 29, 2014, the County Legislature adopted its Multi-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal 2015 – 2018 (the “MYP”) with certain amendments that were not part of the MYP presented by the County Executive; however, those amendments were vetoed by the County Executive, and

WHEREAS, prior to this meeting the County Executive transmitted to NIFA a revised Multi-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal 2015 – 2018 (“Revised Plan”), which Revised Plan has been reviewed by staff and the Directors;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to Sections 3667(2) and 3669(2)(a) of the N.Y. Public Authority Law, Chapter 43-A, NIFA approves the Revised Plan, including the 2015 Budget, subject to review of the Chairman of NIFA of satisfactory evidence that the Revised Plan will not be overturned or changed by the County Executive or Legislature.

* * *

Chairman Kaiman stated that the next item on the agenda was a resolution for consideration of the issuance of general obligation bonds by Nassau County.

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

General Counsel Wise stated that the first bond request was for approximately \$11 million for a class action case that the County had lost and was appealing. The County has stated that in order to continue with the appeal, the judge has made it a condition that the County show that it is prepared to pay its monetary obligation if the case is lost on appeal.

The Chairman stated that the County should not be requesting to borrow money for a judgment that the County has not yet been required to pay. He stated that the County should wait until the judgment becomes final and should look through its resources to determine if it has to borrow a portion or all of the funds to pay it.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following resolution to disapprove the issuance of the bonds outlined in category A of the Materials was approved with Director Leventhal opposing:

Resolution No. 14-531A

CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BY NASSAU COUNTY

WHEREAS, prior to this meeting the County Executive transmitted to NIFA a revised Multi-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal 2015 – 2018 (“Revised Plan”), which Revised Plan has been reviewed by staff and the Directors; and

WHEREAS, NIFA approved the Revised Plan subject to review of the Chairman of NIFA of satisfactory evidence that the Revised Plan will not be overturned or changed by the County Executive or Legislature,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are incorporated into this Resolution and are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussions in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(e) of the Authority Act, the Authority has reviewed the terms of the County’s proposed issuance(s) of bonds and disapproves issuance of the bonds described in the materials in category A.

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

* * *

General Counsel Wise stated that the second bond request was for \$125 million for tax certiorari refunds.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following resolution was approved unanimously:

Resolution No. 14-531B

CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BY NASSAU COUNTY

WHEREAS, prior to this meeting the County Executive transmitted to NIFA a revised Multi-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal 2015 – 2018 (“Revised Plan), which Revised Plan has been reviewed by staff and the Directors; and

WHEREAS, NIFA approved the Revised Plan subject to review of the Chairman of NIFA of satisfactory evidence that the Revised Plan will not be overturned or changed by the County Executive or Legislature,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are incorporated into this Resolution and are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussions in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(e) of the Authority Act, the Authority has reviewed the terms of the County’s proposed issuance(s) of bonds and approves issuance of the bonds described in the Materials in category B, (but only after the Chairman of NIFA has received satisfactory evidence that the Revised Plan will not be overturned or changed by the County Executive or Legislature).

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

* * *

General Counsel Wise stated that the next item on the agenda was a resolution to consider the issuance of tax anticipation notes. He stated that this is a very common form of financing by municipalities and recommends that it be approved.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following resolution was approved unanimously:

Resolution No. 14-530

CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES BY NASSAU COUNTY

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussion in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(e) of the Authority Act, the Authority has reviewed the terms of the County’s proposed issuance of TANs and hereby approves said borrowing on the condition that total proceeds, consisting of par and original issue premium, cannot exceed \$202,351,000 including costs of issuance, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the final terms of the sale are subject to the review and approval of the Chairman of the Authority or his designee(s); and be it further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

* * *

Executive Director Cohen stated that the next item on the agenda was a resolution to

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

revise NIFA’s guidelines for approving County contracts. He stated that staff is recommending an amendment to the guidelines that would exclude 100% third-party funded contracts from NIFA’s review. He stated that to ensure transparency, the amendment requires the County to submit a monthly report to NIFA of all 100% grant funded contracts.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following resolution was approved unanimously:

Resolution No. 14-532

ADOPTION OF REVISED GUIDELINES FOR THE REVIEW OF NASSAU COUNTY CONTRACTS AND THE TAKING OF RELATED ACTIONS

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”) and are incorporated into this Resolution by reference; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Authority hereby approves the staff recommendations in the Materials, which exclude certain types of County contracts from the review of the Authority; and be it further

RESOLVED, that Authority staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

* * *

General Counsel Wise stated that the next item on the agenda was a resolution to consider the approval of contracts between the County Attorney’s office and outside vendors.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following resolution was approved with Chairman Kaiman recusing:

Resolution No. 14-533A

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

REVIEW OF CONTRACTS FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY’S OFFICE

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County struggles to regain fiscal balance, and it is operating under a financial plan that contemplates, among other measures, transitional borrowing for operating expenses; and be it further

RESOLVED, that County must continue to deliver essential services to County residents; and be it further

RESOLVED, that in these circumstances, NIFA must scrutinize contracts for expenditures that are not consistent with the County’s current financial condition and the priorities, necessities, judgments and fiscal realities embodied in the County's adopted financial plan; and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussion in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(d) of the Authority Act, the Authority hereby approves contracts numbered CQPW14000025, CLAT14000019, CQAT14000020, CQAT14000022, CLAT14000029, CQAT14000026 and CQAT14000025 in the Materials; and be it further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

* * *

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following resolution was approved unanimously:

Resolution No. 14-533B

REVIEW OF CONTRACTS FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY’S OFFICE

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County struggles to regain fiscal balance, and it is operating under a financial

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

plan that contemplates, among other measures, transitional borrowing for operating expenses; and be it further

RESOLVED, that County must continue to deliver essential services to County residents; and be it further

RESOLVED, that in these circumstances, NIFA must scrutinize contracts for expenditures that are not consistent with the County's current financial condition and the priorities, necessities, judgments and fiscal realities embodied in the County's adopted financial plan; and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussion in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(d) of the Authority Act, the Authority hereby approves contracts numbered CLAT14000021, CQAT14000027, CLAT14000030, CLAT14000025, and CLAT14000022 in the Materials; and be it further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

* * *

General Counsel Wise stated that the last contract was with Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP. He stated that the contract was for \$200,000 of which \$123,000 was for their work on the P3 sewer system. He stated that the NIFA Directors have previously rejected the contract for \$123,000 since it had also rejected the P3 contract.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the following amended resolution was approved unanimously:

Resolution No. 14-533C

REVIEW OF CONTRACTS FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the "Materials") are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the "Authority"); and be it further

DRAFT – SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND REVISION

RESOLVED, that the County struggles to regain fiscal balance, and it is operating under a financial plan that contemplates, among other measures, transitional borrowing for operating expenses; and be it further

RESOLVED, that County must continue to deliver essential services to County residents; and be it further

RESOLVED, that in these circumstances, NIFA must scrutinize contracts for expenditures that are not consistent with the County's current financial condition and the priorities, necessities, judgments and fiscal realities embodied in the County's adopted financial plan; and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussion in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(d) of the Authority Act, the Authority hereby approves contract numbered CLAT12000006 in the Materials in the amended amount of \$77,000 for non P3 related expenses; and be it further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

* * *

Chairman Kaiman then entertained a motion to adjourn. Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Directors voted unanimously to adjourn the meeting at 7:18 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Laurie A. Boucher
Corporate Secretary

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

FOR CONSIDERATION

January 14, 2015

TO: NIFA Directors

FROM: Evan Cohen

SUBJECT: Renewal of Liquidity Facility

REQUEST FOR: Authorization to Enter into a Liquidity Facility with Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation in Connection with NIFA's 2008B Bonds and to Take Related Actions

Introduction:

You are being asked to approve a liquidity facility with Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation ("Sumitomo") in connection with NIFA's 2008B Bonds (the "Bonds") through final maturity of the Bonds (November 15, 2021). The new liquidity facility agreement will be substantially similar to the existing agreement with Sumitomo for the Bonds, which will expire on March 6, 2015.

Discussion:

After publication in the "New York State Contract Reporter," NIFA released a Request for Bids ("RFP") on December 4, 2014. The RFP was for a liquidity facility in the form of a standby bond purchase agreement with a maturity co-terminus with the final maturity of the Bonds (with consideration to be given to other multi-year maturities), initially in the amount of \$125,000,000 and lower amounts in future years concomitant with the following amortization schedule:

Date	Principal Due	Outstanding Balance 2008B
11/15/2015		125,000,000
11/15/2016		125,000,000
11/15/2017		125,000,000
11/15/2018		125,000,000
11/15/2019	26,050,000	98,950,000
11/15/2020	75,325,000	23,625,000
11/15/2021	23,625,000	0

In addition to the publication, the RFP was also sent directly to approximately 10 other potential bidders.

NIFA received responses from:

1. The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.
2. Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation
3. JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association
4. Mizuho Bank, Ltd

5. Wells Fargo Bank N.A. (Liquidity proposal was not the type we had requested.)

After reviewing the bids with our Financial Advisor, Lamont Financial Services, we are recommending that you accept the bid from Sumitomo for a term through final maturity of the Bonds on November 15, 2021, at a rate of 50 basis points per annum, which is the lowest bid for this term. Shorter terms at corresponding lower rates were considered, but rejected in favor of the certainty and potential savings provided by accepting a term through final maturity. Details of all the bids (attached) are deemed confidential, but can be discussed in Executive Session.

The new facility will extend the facility currently provided by Sumitomo, which is expiring on March 6, 2015, which was for three years, at a cost to NIFA of 47.5 basis points per annum.

Requested Action:

It is requested that the Directors authorize the Chairman or his designee(s) to negotiate and execute an agreement with Sumitomo for a new liquidity agreement substantially upon the terms and conditions discussed in the materials and resolution attached hereto, together with such additional or related actions as they may find necessary. Staff also recommends the use of Sidley Austin LLP as NIFA's outside counsel and Lamont Financial Services as Financial Advisor because of each firm's unique expertise and familiarity with the underlying bond issuance and the associated liquidity facility.

Attachments:

Resolution

Confidential Proposal Summary

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION NO. 15-XXX

**AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO A LIQUIDITY FACILITY IN CONNECTION WITH
NIFA'S 2008B BONDS AND TO TAKE RELATED ACTIONS**

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting (the "Materials") are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority ("NIFA"); and be it further

RESOLVED, that in accordance with the Materials, the Chairman or his designee(s) is hereby authorized to negotiate and execute a liquidity facility with Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation in connection with NIFA's 2008B Bonds through final maturity on November 15, 2021, substantially upon the terms and conditions discussed in the Materials; and be it further

RESOLVED, that reasonable outside counsel fees and disbursements for the aforesaid liquidity facility provider is hereby approved, as well as fees and disbursements for NIFA's outside counsel, Sidley Austin LLP, and its Financial Advisor, Lamont Financial Services, together with such other expenditures as are deemed necessary or appropriate in connection with the completion of the aforesaid actions, and be it further

RESOLVED, that all actions heretofore taken by the Chairman or his designee(s) in furtherance of the foregoing resolutions are hereby ratified and approved; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Chairman or his designee(s) are further authorized to take all actions deemed necessary or appropriate to implement the foregoing and related actions.

Jon Kaiman
Chairperson

January 14, 2015

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

FOR CONSIDERATION

January 14, 2015

TO: NIFA Directors

FROM: Evan Cohen

SUBJECT: County Bond Anticipation Notes, 2015 Series A & B and 2015 Series A Bonds

REQUEST FOR: Consideration of Issuance of Bond Anticipation Notes and Bonds by Nassau County

Introduction:

Nassau County has requested NIFA's approval of a proposed sale of Bond Anticipation Notes, 2015 Series A & B ("2015 A & B BANs") and 2015 Series A Bonds ("Bonds"), primarily in connection with Sandy-related projects ("the "Projects"). The Sandy-related Projects were disclosed to you as part of the related materials you approved on February 7, 2013.

The amount of 2015 A & B BANs for the Projects will not exceed \$54,607,552, plus costs of issuance. The amount of Bonds for the Projects will not exceed \$38,052,774, plus costs of issuance, including \$3,234,595 for certain unrelated judgments and settlements. Any proceeds from the issuance of premium Bonds or 2015 A & B Notes shall be used for costs of issuance or to lower the amount of Bonds or the 2015 A & B BANs needed for the Projects.

The reason for the County's request is found in section 3669 2(e) of the NIFA Act, which states that during a Control Period:

"The authority shall review the terms of each proposed long-term and short-term borrowing by the county and any covered organization to be effected during any control period, and no such borrowing shall be made during any control period unless it is approved by the authority."

Background:

Sandy-Related:

On February 7, 2013 the NIFA Directors authorized the issuance of Sandy-related borrowing for the Projects. The amounts borrowed for the Projects were as follows:

Capital and Sewer and Storm Water	\$185,283,767
Hazardous Waste	<u>2,500,000</u>
Total	\$187,783,767

Not all of the original Sandy-related Projects anticipated to be funded with the \$187,783,767 were commenced; however, the County is now requesting approval to rollover or add back-in approximately \$59 million of such Projects as BANs, and to bond for approximately \$34.8 million of such Projects. See Attachment A, which outlines the history of the transactions associated with the Projects, including FEMA reimbursement.

Judgments and Settlements:

\$3,234,595 of the \$38,052,774 in Bonds is also being requested for the payment of certain judgments and settlements. Any proceeds from the issuance of premium Bonds shall be used for costs of issuance or to lower the amount of Bonds needed to satisfy the judgments and settlements.

See Attachment B, which describes the judgments and settlements.

Requested Action:

You are requested to (approve/disapprove) the issuance of the 2015 A & B BANs and Bonds upon the terms and conditions outlined in these materials.

Attachments:

Attachment A

Attachment B

Resolution

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION NO. 15-XXX

**CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES AND BONDS BY
NASSAU COUNTY**

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are incorporated into this Resolution and are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussions in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(e) of the Authority Act, the Authority has reviewed the terms of the County’s proposed issuance(s) of bond anticipation notes and bonds for the Projects, as defined in the Materials, and approves such issuance(s) in the amounts and upon the conditions outlined in the Materials; and be it further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

, Member, on
On Behalf of the Directors of NIFA

January 14, 2015

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION NO. 15-XXX

CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF BONDS BY NASSAU COUNTY

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are incorporated into this Resolution and are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussions in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(e) of the Authority Act, the Authority has reviewed the terms of the County’s proposed issuance(s) of bonds in connection with certain judgments and settlements and approves such issuance in the amount and upon the conditions outlined in the Materials; and be it further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

Jon Kaiman
Chairperson

January 14, 2015

Attachment A - 1
2015 Series A BAN/Bond Transaction Project List

Project Number	Project Title	2013A BANs	2013B BANs	2014A BANs	2015A Project Needs	FEMA Reimbursement Received During 2014 ¹	Delayed Projects	Re-Borrowing
98344	Public Works Lab Equipment	250,000	250,000	249,587	249,587	0	0	0
81011	Hazardous Waste Response Fund	3,823,767	3,823,767	3,823,767	3,823,767	3,381,819	0	0
6179A	West Shore Road, Mill Neck	8,000,000	8,000,000	8,000,000	8,000,000	0	0	0
62017	Traffic Signal Construction & Modification - Phase VII	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	4,165,328	0	834,672	0
63029	Bridge Rehabilitation Program	6,100,000	6,100,000	2,173,821	2,173,821	0	0	0
41334	Nickerson Beach Improvements	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	0	0
41814	Various County Parks Fencing Repair	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	142,325	0	0
41858	Various Parks Pool Improvements	750,000	750,000	747,120	750,000	0	0	2,880
41861	Various Park Buildings Infrastructure Upgrades	4,250,000	4,250,000	373,811	373,811	0	0	0
41829	Various Park Lighting	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	0	0	0
41871	Countywide Docks and Bulkhead	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	136,321	0	863,679	0
50680	Police Department Precinct and Auxiliary Precincts Renovation and Modernization	2,000,000	429,354	429,354	1,400,000	0	0	970,646
50686	Police Fleet Replacement	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,472,342	1,472,342	0	0	0
63400	Civil Site Studies	1,000,000	1,000,000	850,037	428,037	0	422,000	0
66302	Requirements Contract Roads/Drainage/Bridge/Joints	7,250,000	7,250,000	6,045,000	6,045,000	49,760	0	0
90401	Various County Facilities - Electrical Construction	1,750,000	1,750,000	1,750,000	1,750,000	0	0	0
90402	Various County Facilities - HVAC Construction	1,500,000	1,500,000	881,384	1,500,000	29,176	0	618,616
90403	Various County Facilities - Plumbing Construction	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	130,950	0	0
98105	Fleet Management Life Cycle Vehicle Replacement	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	0	0
82001	Drainage Stream Corridors Reconstruction	2,000,000	2,000,000	1,900,000	1,900,000	0	0	0
50695	Police Department Fuel Management System	1,500,000	706,660	706,660	755,000	0	0	48,340
60050	Sheridan Boulevard Drainage Improvements	2,000,000	695,757	833,763	833,763	0	0	0
97119	Network Infrastructure	2,000,000	2,000,000	461,043	461,043	0	0	0
41844	Various Athletic Fields and Courts	1,000,000	1,000,000	906,295	906,295	0	0	0
90618	Various County Buildings Roof Reconstruction	1,000,000	1,000,000	614,051	662,479	48,428	0	48,428
82008	Rehabilitation of Storm Water Basins	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	0	0	0
50696	Local Municipality Interoperable Radio System	1,000,000	0	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	0
60042	Middle Neck Road Drainage Improvements	3,000,000	2,049,117	2,664,035	2,664,035	0	0	0
61587	Road Resurfacing	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	500,000	0	4,500,000	0
62500	Traffic Studies	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	0	0	0
66016	Countywide Tree Management Program	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	0
66050	Various County Curbs and Sidewalks Rehabilitation	2,000,000	2,000,000	1,072,000	1,072,000	0	0	0
90375	Emergency Work at Road Maintenance Garages	850,000	850,000	850,000	850,000	0	0	0
90400	Various County Facilities - General Construction	5,000,000	5,000,000	2,923,500	2,923,500	0	0	0
90612	Various Generators - Buildings Upgrades	2,850,000	2,850,000	2,355,000	1,300,000	0	1,055,000	0
97530	GeoBased Mapping and Information System	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	0	0	0
98060	Road Maintenance Equipment Replacement	6,750,000	6,750,000	6,750,000	6,750,000	0	0	0
90622	Hempstead Garage Improvements	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	0	0	0
82009	Drainage Facilities Sidewalk Rehabilitation	500,000	500,000	200,000	0	0	200,000	0
35120	Wastewater Facilities Hardening Study	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	0
90636	Warehouse and Staging Area	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	0	0	0
98130	Countywide Trunked Radio System	1,000,000	0	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	0	0
41826	Various Parks Preserve Buildings Rehabilitation	500,000	500,000	3,497	0	0	3,497	0
41834	Various Parks Path/Roadways/Parking Resurface	1,000,000	1,000,000	699,494	699,494	0	0	0
41870	County Wide Beach Restoration and Mitigation	2,000,000	2,000,000	69,779	70,127	0	0	348
3P311	Pump Station Rehabilitation	22,800,000	6,813,776	6,792,200	6,792,200	446,100	0	0
35121	Wastewater Facilities Storm Restoration	60,000,000	20,000,000	30,775,673	20,775,673	1,581,121	10,000,000	0
97104	Disaster Recovery Plan	110,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
97113	Departmental Technology Equipment Replacement	500,000	500,000	0	0	0	0	0
97117	CAMDR	200,000	200,000	0	0	0	0	0
97135	VoIP	250,000	250,000	0	0	0	0	0
41820	Various Parks Playgrounds Improvements	250,000	250,000	0	0	0	0	0
Total		\$ 187,783,767	\$ 125,068,431	\$ 118,923,213	\$ 99,733,623	\$ 5,809,679	\$ 20,878,848	\$ 1,689,258

¹ Additional FEMA reimbursement of \$626,727 was applied to the refunding of the 2013B BANs. The remaining balance of the 2013B BANs was refunded with cash.

Attachment B
Judgments and Settlements

Marbles Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a T&T Gunnery and Martin Tretola v. County of Nassau, Police Officer Faltings, Index No. 08-CV-3225

Bond Ordinance #115-2014 (\$1,280,176.59 = judgment amt. \$1,255,075.09 + 2% COI of \$25,101.50);
Passed by Nassau County Legislature on September 22, 2014.

Plaintiff Martin Tretola filed a federal lawsuit in 2008 claiming that his civil rights were allegedly violated under Sections 1983 and 1988 of the U.S. Constitution. Plaintiff claimed that certain Nassau County Police Officers and Fire Marshalls allegedly made false charges regarding the operation of his gun shop in 2006 and based on these allegations the Plaintiff was arrested and prosecuted by the Nassau County District Attorney's office. Plaintiff's gun shop was closed while the investigation and prosecution was pending. The Plaintiff was found not guilty and subsequently filed this lawsuit against the County claiming false arrest, malicious prosecution, emotional distress and loss of business. A jury trial was held in August 2012 before Judge Hurley and a jury verdict of \$5,000,000 was awarded to Plaintiff. Through County's motion to set aside the verdict the jury award was decreased and attorney's fees were reduced to a judgment of \$1,255,075.99. The County did not take any further appeal of decision and agreed to judgment at the reduced amount.

New York Telephone Company v. Supervisor of Town of North Hempstead, et al., Index No. 012792/98

Bond Ordinance # 142-A-2014 (\$542,746.09 = settlement amt. \$532,104.01 + 2% COI of \$10,642.08)
Passed by Nassau County Legislature on October 20, 2014

The Town of North Hempstead ("the Town") filed an action against the County seeking indemnification for a judgment against the Town and special garbage districts located within the Town. The judgment for which the Town seeks indemnification against the County was entered in an action brought by New York Telephone (now known as Verizon). In that action, the Court determined that special ad valorem levies, for garbage and refuse collection services, could not be imposed upon "mass properties" (telephone poles, wires, etc.) owned by New York Telephone because such properties do not benefit from these services. The Court ordered the Town and garbage districts to refund the payment of the levies. The Town and garbage districts then sought indemnification from the County for the judgment, relying upon the Nassau County Administrative Code Section 6-26.0(b) (3)(c), commonly known as the "County Guaranty." The Town claimed that the County is a guarantor for any claim for an illegal assessment for non-benefited properties.

Initially, Nassau Supreme Court Justice Daniel Martin ruled in the County's favor, stating that the County Guaranty had been superseded by Real Property Tax Law ("RPTL") and that the County was not responsible for the refund of the special ad valorem levies that the Town and the garbage districts were obligated to make to New York Telephone.

In 2010, the Appellate Division, Second Department reversed Justice Martin's decision and stated that while the RPTL did supersede the County Guaranty with regard to errors that were correctable under Article 5 of the RPTL, the RPTL did not supersede the County Guaranty with respect to errors that were not correctable under Article 5. The Second Department further concluded that the errors in imposing the special ad valorem levies were not correctable under Article 5. As a result, the County Guaranty was

not superseded and it applied to the refund of the special ad valorem levies.

The County was ordered to indemnify the Town and garbage districts and to pay \$1,247,128.20, the amount of the judgment entered against the Town and its garbage districts. This amount included the principal amount of the refund plus pre-judgment interest on the principal amount. On July 26, 2012 the County paid the Town the full amount of the judgment, \$1,247,128.20 (see bond ordinance #195-2011 authorizing payment). However, at the same time, the County argued that it was not obligated to reimburse the Town, under the County Guaranty, for the pre-judgment interest that was included in the \$1,247,128.20 judgment. The County also argued that the pre-judgment interest rate of 9% should be decreased since the judgment at hand was against a municipality. These issues between the Town and County were extensively litigated.

A final determination was reached by the Court on November 28, 2012 (Justice Adams) that the County was required to reimburse the Town for an additional \$339,184.70. This amount represented interest that accrued from the date the \$1,247,128.80 judgment against the Town was entered until the Town paid the judgment (which was approximately three (3) years later); the post-judgment interest.

The Town then submitted a further judgment against the County for the \$339,184.70, plus interest on that sum, that is, interest that accrued from the date that the Town paid the \$339,184.70 to New York Telephone. When the Court entered this further judgment against the County in favor of the Town, the Court miscalculated the amount of the interest that had accrued on the \$339,184.70 because the Court did not take into account the County's payment of \$1,247,128.20 to the Town on July 26, 2012.

The County made a motion to correct the Court's miscalculation, and the Town and the County stipulated to the correct amount of interest that should have been properly charged to the County based on the Court's November 28, 2012 decision. When the correct amount of interest is added to the \$339,184.70, the total of the further judgment against the County is \$532,104.01. This further judgment was entered on June 24, 2014.

Confidential Informant v. County of Nassau, et al., Index No. 1816-12

Bond Ordinance # 114-2014 (\$311,100 = settlement amt. \$305,000 + 2% COI of \$6,100)

Settlement Resolution # 176-2014; Passed by Nassau County Legislature on September 22, 2014

Plaintiff filed a federal lawsuit claiming civil rights violations when Nassau County failed to protect Plaintiff's identity when assisting in the prosecution in the murder of an individual. Plaintiff called in an anonymous tip to Nassau County Crime Stoppers regarding a murder that had occurred in Nassau County providing Crime Stoppers with information regarding the individual wanted in connection with a murder, including information regarding the person's identity and location.

Plaintiff testified at the deposition that members of the Nassau County Police Department promised that Plaintiff's role as the confidential informant would remain anonymous as the individual wanted for the murder (hereinafter "the criminal defendant") was a member of the *Bloods* street gang. In reliance upon such promises and assurances, Plaintiff coordinated a plan with the NCPD and in an effort to guarantee that there would be no suspicion that Plaintiff had cooperated with the police in connection with the apprehension of the criminal defendant, the police agreed that they would conduct a "faux arrest" of Plaintiff, at the very same time they would arrest the criminal defendant, to create the appearance, from

the criminal defendant's point of view, that Plaintiff played no role in cooperating with the police in facilitating the criminal defendant's capture.

The criminal defendant was tried before Judge James P. McCormack. At trial, upon direct questioning by the Assistant District Attorney, the arresting detective identified Plaintiff as the informant who provided information to the Nassau County Police Department and assisted in facilitating the arrest. At the conclusion of the jury trial, the criminal defendant was convicted and sentenced to thirty years in prison

Plaintiff alleges that the County of Nassau has failed to properly train its officers or provide proper supervision to ensure that an individual's identity as a confidential informant would remain confidential and was not publically disclosed. Plaintiff alleged that as a result of the disclosure, the Plaintiff's friends and family have become enemies who have flooded their pages on *Facebook*, a social network website, with threatening comments about Plaintiff. Included among these comments are those indicating that Plaintiff needs "a nice buck fifty across the face", *Bloods* slang for 150 stitches across the face.

Alan Fishman v. County of Nassau, et al., Index No. 10-CV-1105

Bond Ordinance # 150-2014 (\$253,384.32 = settlement amt. \$248,416.00 + 2% COI of \$4,968.32)

Settlement Resolution # 213-2014; Passed by Nassau County Legislature on November 17, 2014

This federal lawsuit arises out of the termination of Plaintiff, Alan Fishman, from his employment with the Nassau County Legislature. Plaintiff commenced a lawsuit on July 15, 2010, alleging, in essence, that he was terminated because of his political affiliation and activities with the Democratic Party.

On January 10, 2010 the Nassau County Legislature shifted control to a new Republican majority. Commensurate with this shift in power in 2010, Legislator Peter Schmitt became presiding officer of the legislature and William Muller replaced Chumi Diamond as the clerk of the legislature. On February 10, 2010 Mr. Muller terminated Plaintiff.

In his complaint plaintiff alleged violations of his 1st and 14th amendments under the federal and state constitution and the NYS Labor law. Plaintiff also made claims for intentional and negligent infliction of emotional distress. Following County's motion to dismiss and summary judgment motion, only two of plaintiff's claims remained, i.e. Plaintiff's 1st amendment claim and plaintiff's claim under Section 201-d NYS Labor law. Tentative settlement was reached; plaintiff agreed to accept \$150,000 in settlement of his claims and \$98,416.00 in attorney's fees.

Howard/Sherri Lang v. County of Nassau, et al., Index No. 1816-12

Bond Ordinance # 151-2014 (\$459,000 = settlement amt. \$450,000 + 2% COI of \$9,000)

Settlement Resolution # 214-2014; Passed by Nassau County Legislature on November 17, 2014

Plaintiff's estate filed negligence and wrongful death lawsuit against Nassau County when a Nassau County Police officer struck and killed Ms. Lang when she was crossing the street in Bellmore in 2011. The Police Officer was on duty and was returning to the police precinct at the end of his shift when he hit Ms. Lang. The Police Officer's license was suspended for six months. Ms. Lang's estate also sued

for pain and suffering prior to her death. Ms. Lang's husband also sued for loss of his wife's affection. A settlement was reached settling Mr. and Ms. Lang's claims for \$450,000.

Tina Bridgwood v. County of Nassau, et al., Index No. 10-CV-0830

Bond Ordinance # 152-2014 (\$147,900 = settlement amt. \$145,000 + 2% COI of \$2,900)

Settlement Resolution # 215-2014; Passed by Nassau County Legislature on November 17, 2014

Sondra Erdogan v. County of Nassau, et al., Index No. 10-CV-5837

Bond Ordinance # 153-2014 (\$304,980 = settlement amt. \$299,000 + 2% COI of \$5,980)

Settlement Resolution # 216-2014; Passed by Nassau County Legislature on November 17, 2014

Both of these matters involve claims filed in three separate actions by eight separate plaintiffs, each of whom was formerly incarcerated in the Nassau County Correctional Center. Plaintiffs allege that they were sexually assaulted and/or harassed while incarcerated by former corrections officer Mark Barber. In 2012 Mr. Barber was convicted on a variety of criminal charges relating to five of the plaintiffs and acquitted of criminal charges with respect to one of the plaintiffs. The District Attorney did not seek indictment with respect to the other two plaintiffs. Plaintiffs allege violations of their constitutional and civil rights (42 U.S.C. § 1983) and have asserted various pendent state causes of action. The lawsuits of Bridgwood and Erdogan stem from an initial lawsuit filed by a former Nassau County Correctional Center inmate, Ms. Stamile. These settlements will resolve two of the eight claimants in this civil lawsuit.

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

FOR CONSIDERATION

January 14, 2015

TO: NIFA Directors

FROM: Evan Cohen

SUBJECT: Revenue Anticipation Note Borrowing

REQUEST FOR: Consideration of Revenue Anticipation Note Borrowing by NHCC

Introduction:

The Nassau Health Care Corporation (“NHCC”) would like to issue cash flow notes (the “Notes.”) The total par amount of the Notes will be \$40 million, but these will be premium Notes thereby increasing the total amount of proceeds. The total amount of proceeds from sale of the Notes, including premium, is not expected to exceed \$42 million.

Issuance of the Notes has been approved by the NHCC Board; however, NHCC is requesting NIFA’s approval. The reason for NHCC’s request to NIFA is found in section 3669 2(e) of the NIFA Act, which states that during a Control Period:

“The authority shall review the terms of each proposed long-term and short-term borrowing by the county and any covered organization to be effected during any control period, and no such borrowing shall be made during any control period unless it is approved by the authority.”

Background:

NHCC plans to issue the Notes in January 2015, probably the week of January 19th. The Notes will close, and proceeds will be available to NHCC, prior to the end of January.

The Notes will be tax-exempt, will not be rated, and will not be callable prior to maturity.

The Notes will be issued to fund NHCC’s pension payment, which is due January 30, 2015, and other cash flow needs. They will have a term of approximately 12 months, and will mature on or around January 15, 2016. Interest will be paid at maturity.

The primary source of noteholder security will be NHCC’s expected Medicaid receipts consisting of Intergovernmental Transfer (“IGT”) and Indigent Care Adjustment payments. IGT funds are expected to total approximately \$45 million in 2015. Indigent Care Adjustment is expected to be approximately \$13 million. NHCC will additionally secure the Notes with a backup pledge of its operating revenues.

NHCC will utilize a “lock box” structure to attract investors and provide sufficient security for the Notes. Under this structure, NHCC will deposit the pledged revenues with a third party trustee at certain times and in specific amounts to ensure funds are available to satisfy the debt service requirement(s) on a timely basis.

NHCC has issued similar notes in each of the prior four years. Each of these notes was paid or will be paid in full at maturity.

- \$40 Million NHCC Revenue Anticipation Notes issued on January 30, 2014
- \$40 Million NHCC Revenue Anticipation Notes issued on January 17, 2013
- \$40 Million NHCC Revenue Anticipation Notes issued on February 16, 2012
- \$55 Million NHCC Revenue Anticipation Notes issued on March 24, 2011
- \$50 Million NHCC Revenue Anticipation Notes issued on June 8, 2010

There will be no Nassau County guaranty on the Notes. However, the County would be required to subordinate its interest in NHCC’s revenues, as it has for the prior RAN issuances. The County has approved the subordination agreement for the 2015 issuance.

Orrick, Herrington will serve as bond counsel, Public Financial Management as financial advisor, Ramirez & Co. as underwriter, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP as underwriter’s counsel, and The Bank of New York Mellon as trustee.

Discussion:

After discussions between NIFA and NHCC staff, we are convinced that this issuance is needed in order to help meet NHCC’s financial obligations.

Requested Action:

You are requested to approve NHCC’s proposed issuance of RANs subject to the final review and approval of the Chairman or his designee(s).

Attachment:

Resolution

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION NO. 15-XXX

APPROVAL OF ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES BY THE NASSAU HEALTH CARE CORPORATON

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussion in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(e) of the Authority Act, the Authority has reviewed the terms of the Nassau Health Care Corporation’s proposed issuance of Revenue Anticipation Notes and hereby approves said borrowing on the condition that total proceeds, consisting of par and original issue premium, cannot exceed \$42 million, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the final terms of the sale are subject to the review and approval of the Chairman of the Authority or his designee(s); and be if further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

Jon Kaiman
Chairperson

January 14, 2015

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

FOR CONSIDERATION

January 14, 2015

TO: NIFA Directors

FROM: Evan Cohen

SUBJECT: Legal Aid Society of Nassau County

REQUEST FOR: Consideration of County Contract for Legal Aid Society of Nassau County

Introduction:

On March 24, 2011 NIFA adopted the Contract Approval Guidelines, as subsequently amended, (“Guidelines”), which include the Contract Approval Request Form. The Guidelines delineate the dollar thresholds and approval process of all County contracts that must be submitted to NIFA for approval.

On January 7, 2015, 2015, the County submitted a contract for Legal Aid Society of Nassau County to NIFA for approval. The vendor is a sole source provider. The contract is a 12 month contract valued at \$6,360,976.

Discussion:

Pursuant to County Law, the County is mandated to provide legal representation to criminal defendants and civil litigants in certain Family Court and Surrogate Court proceedings. Legal representation is provided through the Legal Aid Society and through a panel of private attorneys screened by the Nassau County Bar Association.

Requested Action:

You are requested to approve the County’s contract with the Legal Aid Society.

Attachment:

Resolution

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION NO. 15-XXX

APPROVAL OF COUNTY CONTRACT FOR LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF NASSAU COUNTY

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the “Materials”) are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the “Authority”); and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussion in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(d) of the Authority Act, the Authority hereby approves the County’s Contract for Legal Aid Society of Nassau County which is projected to cost \$6,360,976; and be it further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

Jon Kaiman
Chairperson

January 14, 2015

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

FOR CONSIDERATION

January 14, 2015

TO: NIFA Directors

FROM: Evan Cohen

SUBJECT: Contracts from County Attorney's Office

REQUEST FOR: Consideration of Contracts from the County Attorney's Office

Introduction:

Pursuant to Section 3669 2(d) of the Authority Act, NIFA may require the review of certain contracts during a control period. The Directors have determined that all contracts arising from the Nassau County Legal Department shall, for the foreseeable future, be voted upon by the Directors at a public meeting.

Discussion:

The County Legislature has approved the following contracts for legal services and the monies to pay the contracts have been encumbered. The descriptions of services are brief so as to avoid public dissemination of legal strategies; however, more detailed confidential descriptions are available and can be discussed in Executive Session.

Brief Summary:

Vendor	Contract #	Amount	Purpose
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP	CQAT14000023	\$100,000	New outside counsel contract to represent Officer Mario Mastropierro in the matter Karen Rochester, as Administratrix of the Estate of Collin T. Rochester, Deceased v. County of Nassau, Mario Mastropierro, Christopher M. McCarthy individually and in their official capacities, and John Doe Nos. 1-10 being police officers and other employees of the County of Nassau responsible for the torts committed against Decedent and Violation of the Civil and Constitutional Rights of the Decedent and the Plaintiff, Index No. 10-CV-6017

Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP	CQAT14000024	\$100,000	New outside counsel contract to represent County defendants in litigations known as Baldwin Union Free School District, et al v. The County of Nassau, et al. Index No. 3069-11; In the Matter of the Board of Education of the East Meadow Union Free School District, et al. v. The County of Nassau, et al, Index No 3075-11; in the Matter of Hofstra University v. Nassau County, NY and the Nassau County Treasurer index No 3203-11 and in the Matter of St. Francis Hospital, Roslyn, NY et al. v. Nassau County, NY and the Nassau County Treasurer, Index No 3335-11 and such related litigations that are collectively known as the “Sewer Service Charge Cases”.
---	--------------	-----------	--

Requested Action:

You are requested to review and approve or disapprove entering into these contracts referenced in the prior discussion.

Attachment:

Resolution

NASSAU COUNTY INTERIM FINANCE AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION NO. 15-XXX

REVIEW OF CONTRACTS FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

RESOLVED, that the materials presented to this meeting of the Directors (the "Materials") are ordered to be filed with the records of the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority (the "Authority"); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County struggles to regain fiscal balance, and it is operating under a financial plan that contemplates, among other measures, transitional borrowing for operating expenses; and be it further

RESOLVED, that County must continue to deliver essential services to County residents; and be it further

RESOLVED, that in these circumstances, NIFA must scrutinize contracts for expenditures that are not consistent with the County's current financial condition and the priorities, necessities, judgments and fiscal realities embodied in the County's adopted financial plan; and be it further

RESOLVED, that based upon the discussion in the Materials and pursuant to Section 3669 2(d) of the Authority Act, the Authority hereby approves contracts numbered XXX in the Materials and disapproves contract(s) numbered XXX for the foregoing reasons and because the Directors find that the expenditures required by these contract(s) are not consistent with the adopted financial plan; and be it further

RESOLVED, that staff may take all actions and do all things that they deem necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

Jon Kaiman
Chairperson

January 14, 2015